INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SUPPLEMENTAL EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

2007-2008 COMPLIANCE AND ON-SITE MONITORING REPORT

FOR:

Dyslexia Institute of Indiana

DOCUMENT ANALYSIS		OBSERV	ATION	COMPLIANCE		
		Lesson matches Ca		Criminal Background		
Tutor Qualifications	Satisfactory	original description	n/a	Checks	In Compliance	
		Н		Health/safety laws &		
Recruiting Materials	Satisfactory	Instruction is clear	n/a	regulations	In Compliance	
		Time on task is				
Academic Program	Satisfactory	appropriate	n/a	Financial viability	In Compliance	
	•	Instructor is		•	_	
		appropriately				
Progress Reporting	Satisfactory	knowledgeable	n/a			
Assessment and Individual		Student/instructor				
Program Design	Satisfactory	ratio:	n/a			

Due to scheduling conflicts, an on-site observation of Dyslexia Institute of Indiana was not conducted for 2007-2008. Dyslexia Institute of Indiana will receive an on-site visit in 2008-2009.

ACTION NEEDED: None

On-site Monitoring Visit Rubric DOCUMENT ANALYSIS Components

NAME OF PROVIDER: Dyslexia Institute of Indiana

DATE DOCUMENTATION RECEIVED: 5/23/08

REVIEWER: MC

Providers are required to submit documentation for each component during the site visit. If documentation is not available on-site, the director or head of the provider's organization, the site director, or another authorized representative will be required to submit documentation to the IDOE within seven (7) calendar days of site visit completion. **Failure to submit evidence could result in removal from the approved provider list.** Providers will be given an Unsatisfactory or Satisfactory for each component. Providers receiving an Unsatisfactory for any component may be required to address deficiencies within 7 calendar days of receiving their final report.

		DC	OCUMENTATION			
			SUBMITTED	UNSATISFACTORY	SATISFACTORY	
COMPONENT	DOCUMENTATION NEEDED		(IDOE use only)	UNSATISFACTORT	SATISFACTORT	COMMENTS
	BOTH of the following:					All tutors have completed at least some
	-Tutor resumes/applications (<u>all tutors</u>)					higher education (majority have at least
	-Documentation of professional					Bachelor's degrees). As per DII's
	development opportunities in which tutors					application, tutors have classroom
	have participated (i.e. sign-sheets,					experience or experience working with
	agendas, presentations, certificates of					youth. All tutors have completed 40
	completion, etc.)					hours of Orton-Gillingham training.
						Orton-Gillingham training provided
	In addition to:					across 10 sessions in a one-month period,
	ONE of the following:					equaling 40 hours of training.
	-Tutor evaluations (all tutors)					Training includes brain research,
	-Recruiting policy for tutors (one copy)		Tutor resumes			information on dyslexia, and in-depth
Tutor qualifications	-Sample tutor contract (one copy)		Orton-			training on the various components of the
1	,		Gillingham			Orton-Gillingham method.
			training agendas			Certificates of completion are awarded to
			Invoices for			tutors after completing Orton-Gillingham
			Orton-			training.
			Gillingham			Tutor evaluations document tutor
			training			performance during drills, concept
			Tutor			review, and other portions of Orton-
			evaluations		X	Gillingham methods.
	TWO of the following:		Cvaruations		41	Incentives are not offered by this
	TWO of the following.					provider.
	-Advertising or recruitment flyers					Tutoring brochure describes Orton-
	-Advertising of recruitment rivers -Incentives policy					Gillingham method and information about
Dogwiting materials			Incentives			
Recruiting materials	-Program description for parents	•				dyslexia. Information provided in brochures about lessons matches
			policy			
		•	Tutoring		•	description in originally approved
			brochure		X	application.

Academic Program	ONE of the following: -Lesson plan(s) for the observed tutoring session(s) and for each subject in which provider tutors In addition to: ONE of the following: -Specific connections to Indiana standards (cite exact IN standard to which lesson connects) -Description of connections to curriculum of EACH district the provider works with.	 Lesson plan for one lesson Correlation to Indiana standards 	Lesson plan is related to Orton-Gillingham and includes drills to be used in visual, auditory, and blending; review; introduction of something new; spelling; oral reading; and assessment. Submitted lesson plan matches program description in originally approved application. Lesson plans are aligned to student needs based on the Gallistel-Ellis Test of Coding Skills. Each section of lesson plan correlates to academic standards that are level-appropriate based on student's preassessment.
Progress Reporting	-Progress reports (see IDOE e-mail for details regarding the request for progress reports) -Timeline for sending progress reports -Documentation of reports sent	 Progress reports Copy of SES contract for IPS Tutor agreements SES agreements 	Progress reports include pre-assessment scores and specific goals in terms of growth on the pre-assessment to the post-assessment. Progress report details skills introduced and the dates they were covered, as well as information about what will take place in the remainder of SES sessions. Progress reports include information about student strengths/weaknesses in the form of pre-test scores. Some progress reports include information about student behavior. Progress reports are submitted monthly, as evidenced by the tutor agreements. Progress reports generally reflected that students were working on standards identified on the SES agreements. Per one district surveyed, progress reports have been submitted in a timely manner. Progress reports include most information required in the IDOE progress report checklist. However, in accordance with the checklist, progress reports must include specific information as to how students are improving achievement, and a written statement that recommendations can be made. Revised progress reports were submitted

	ALL of the following:				Individual learning plans are developed
	Tibb of the following.				after an academic goals conference with
	-Explanation of the process provider uses				the parent and the administration of the
	to develop Individual learning plans for				Gallistel-Ellis pre-assessment.
	each student				 Learning plans are used to design specific
	- Pre-assessment scores and Individual				lessons for each student. Individual
	learning plan for at least one student in				lesson plans include drills, visual,
	each subject provider tutors (any		Description of		auditory, and blending activities, and
	identifying information for the student(s)		process used to		review of old concepts. Each lesson plan
	must be blanked out)		develop		is created based on skills mastery from
Assessment and	-Explanation and evidence regarding how		individual		the previous lesson.
Individual Program	provider's pre and post-test assessment		learning plans		Orton-Gillingham suggested order of
Design	correlates to Indiana academic standards.		Suggested order		presentation helps tutors design lesson
2 001811	Corrollates to moralla academic standards.		of presentation		plans for students that are based on the
			for Orton-		GE pre-assessment.
			Gillingham		Gallistel-Ellis assessment correlates to
			Overview of		reading standards 1 and 6 by assessing
			Gallistel-Ellis		understanding of phonics structure,
			test of coding		pronunciation of letters, reading skills,
			skills		and spelling.
			Gallistel-Ellis		After pre-assessing a student with the
			test of coding		Gallistel Ellist Test of Coding skills, the
			skills summary		Orton-Gillingham Suggested Order of
			sheet		Presentation is used to start students with
		•	Correlation		simple skills and move to more complex
			between		materials. The Skills Integration Chart is
			Gallistel-Ellis		used to complement the Suggested Order
			and Indiana		of Presentation in planning lessons for
			standards		students based on pre-assessment results,
		•	Skills		goals, and needs. Students must master
			Integration		basic skills in each layer before moving
			Chart	X	on to more complex skills.

On-site Monitoring Rubric OBSERVATION Components

NAME OF PROVIDER:	DATE:
SITE:	REVIEWERS:
TUTOR'S INITIALS (ALL TUTORS OBSERVED):	TIME OF OBSERVATION:
NUMBER OF LESSONS OBSERVED:	

During the site visit, IDOE personnel will visit several tutoring sessions to observe lessons being provided. IDOE reviewers will be looking to see that actual tutoring matches lesson plan descriptions that are provided in requested documents, as well as those that were provided in the original provider application; that tutors and students are spending an appropriate amount of time on task; that instruction is clear and understandable; and that instructors seem knowledgeable about lesson content.

Each provider will receive a score of 1-4 points for each component. Providers receiving "1 or 2 points" on any component may be required to address deficiencies within 7 calendar days of receiving their final report. Failure to address deficiencies may result in removal from the state approved list.

Due to scheduling conflicts, IDOE was unable to complete a monitoring visit for this provider during the 2007-2008 school year. A monitoring visit for this provider will be conducted during the 2008-2009 school year.

	1	2	3	4	
COMPONENT	Below Standard	Approaching Standard	Meeting Standard	Exceeding Standard	REVIEWER COMMENTS
Lesson matches					
original description					
in provider					
application					
Instruction is clear					
Time on task is					
appropriate					
Instructor is					
appropriately					
knowledgeable					
Student/instructor					
ratio:					
Ratio matches that					
reported in original provider					
application					
аррисации					

On-site Monitoring Visit Rubric COMPLIANCE Components

NAME OF PROVIDER: Dyslexia Institute of Indiana

DATE DOCUMENTATION RECEIVED: 5/23/08

REVIEWER: MC

The following information is rated "Compliance" (C) or "Non-Compliance" (N-C). Selected documentation listed for each component must be submitted as part of the site visit monitoring. If documentation is not available on-site, the director or head of the provider's organization, the site director, or another authorized representative will be required to submit documentation to the IDOE within seven (7) calendar days of site visit completion. **Failure to submit evidence could result in removal from the approved provider list.**

If a provider is deemed to be in non-compliance with any component for which evidence has been requested, the provider may be contacted and may be required to develop and submit a corrective action plan for getting into compliance within 7 calendar days. If the corrective action plan is not submitted, if the corrective action plan is inappropriate or insufficient, or if the corrective action plan is not implemented, the provider may be removed from the state-approved list.

COMPONENT	REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION	DOCUMENTATION SUBMITTED (IDOE USE ONLY)	C	N-C
COMICNENT	ALL of the following:	(IDOE USE ONLT)	C	N-C
Criminal background checks	-Criminal background checks from an appropriate source for every tutor and any other employees working directly with children. ONE of the following: -Student release policy(ies)	Criminal background checks submitted for all tutors.	X	
Health and safety laws and regulations	In addition to: ONE of the following: -Safety plans and/or records -Department of Health documentation of physical plant safety (if operating at a site other than a school) -Evacuation plans/policies (e.g., in case of fire, tornado, etc.) -Transportation policies (as applicable)	 Student release policy Evacuation plan/health and safety protocol 	X	
Financial viability	ONE of the following: -Documentation of liability insurance coverage In addition to: ONE of the following: -Audited financial statements -Tax return for the past two years	Documentation of liability insurance Audited financial statements	X	